IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O. O. C. J.
REVIEW PETITION (LODG.) NO.18 OF 2005
IN
APPEAL NO.753 OF 2004
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION NO.169 OF 2004

Vijay A.M. ..Petitioner.
Vs.
M/s. Badjate & Associates and another ..Respondents.
....
Mr. S.B. Deshmukh for the Petitioner.
Mr. Hemang Jariwala i/b Auroma Law for Respondent No.1.
....

CORAM: **DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, &**
S. J. VAZIFDAR, JJ.

17th September, 2007.

P.C. :

The Review Petition is taken on Board with the consent of counsel appearing for the Petitioner and counsel appearing for the First Respondent upon the delay being condoned. The First Respondent is the claimant in the arbitral proceedings. Counsel appearing for the Appellant and for the First Respondent have tendered Consent Terms signed on behalf of the respective parties. The Consent Terms are taken on record and marked as 'X'. The dispute has been settled and accordingly on the request of the learned counsel, the Review Petition shall stand disposed of in

terms of the settlement between the parties.

DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, J.

S. J. VAZIFDAR, J.